# **OPEN** MEETING AGENDA ITEM

## ORIGINAL



1

### BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2

**COMMISSIONERS** 

2006 HAR -8 P 3: 14

3

JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman Z CORP COMMISSION WILLIAM A. MUNDELL DOCUMENT CONTROL

MARC SPITZER

MIKE GLEASON

5

KRISTIN K. MAYES

6

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DUNCAN RURAL SERVICES CORPORATION FOR A RATE INCREASE.

DOCKET NO. G-02528A-05-0314

DOCKET NO. G-02528A-03-0205

8

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF **DUNCAN RURAL SERVICES CORPORATION** FOR APPROVAL OF A LOAN IN THE AMOUNT OF \$400,000.

**EXCEPTIONS** 

10

11 12

GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A. 2575 E. CAMELBACK ROAD PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-9225 (602) 530-8000

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Duncan Rural Services Corporation ("DRSC" or the "Association") submits these exceptions to the Recommended Opinion and Order ("ROO"). DRSC is a small, non-profit association delivering gas service to about 750 members in Greenlee County. Working with the Commission and Duncan Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("DVEC" or the "Cooperative"), it acquired the gas operations of General Utilities in late 1989. In the 2004 test year, it suffered a net loss of more than \$70,000. Attached as Exhibit A are hearing transcript excerpts summarizing some of the key financial and operational difficulties which the Association has faced since inception and continues to face (HR TR, pp. 9-12).

The Association generally supports the ROO. Several of its provisions will help improve DRSC's beleaguered finances and ability to provide safe, reliable and adequate service. The Commission should adopt it with the four changes requested in these exceptions.

First, consistent with Staff's recommendation, clarify that the capital improvement requirements do not apply to the debt authorized in this case. Second, consistent with the terms of the debt approved previously in Decision No. 64869, authorize DRSC to borrow the amount recommended in the ROO from DVEC at a variable interest rate equivalent to AEPCO's deposit rate, but not to exceed eight percent (8%). Third, authorize the Association to manage its PGA bank balance as close to zero as possible with monthly adjustments of no more than 10 cents per therm. Fourth and finally, instead of the debt amount recommended, authorize a total of \$600,000 in new debt which will fund past and future capital requirements as well as allow DRSC to repay DVEC at some time in the future monies the Cooperative has advanced to support DRSC's losses from operations. Recommended amendments to the ROO on each of these issues are attached as Exhibit B.

On the first issue, DRSC does not object to the capital improvement and reporting requirements described in Finding 28 and ordered at page 17 of the ROO. However, Staff was clear that its equity improvement recommendation was not intended to apply to any debt authorized in this proceeding: "[A]ny debt that's approved in this rate case, be it 330,000 or 600,000, that will not be included in the calculation for the five percent." HR TR, p. 131, ll. 4-6. Therefore, to avoid future confusion, the Association would request that the phrase "exclusive of the long-term debt authorized in this Decision" be added after the word "debt" on page 4, l. 26 of the ROO.

Second, the ROO recommends approval of additional long-term debt at an interest rate not to exceed five percent per year. While DRSC did discuss the five percent (5%) interest rate as being a more realistic and current long-term rate than Staff's 2.725%, it offered those comments in relation to its revenue requirements position (Exhibit A-3, p. 3, l. 26-p. 4, l. 22).

It did not intend the five percent (5%) rate to be a cap for the term of the loan. We apologize if that was not clear. Instead, DRSC requests that the loan authorized here carry the same terms as the Commission authorized in its last rate case in Decision No. 64869, i.e., a variable interest rate equivalent to AEPCO's deposit rate paid to DVEC, but not to exceed eight percent (8%). This will allow the Association to uniformly administer both loans, more fairly compensate DVEC for the monies it lends to the Association and provide a very attractive, but still capped short-term rate for long-term monies to the benefit of DRSC's customers. Recommended amendment language is set forth in Exhibit B.

Third, at Finding 64, the ROO rejects DRSC's proposal that it be allowed to manage its PGA bank balance as close to zero as possible by adjusting the PGA rate monthly—up or down—by no more than 10 cents per therm based upon its 12-month rolling average cost of gas. Recently, a primary cash flow and credit strain on the Association has been extremely volatile natural gas prices. While larger companies with greater cash and credit resources may be able to survive under the current system of a 10-cent annual band plus surcharge requests, it just does not work for DRSC or its customers.

From the member's standpoint, twice in recent years it has led to sudden jumps of 41 cents and 45 cents<sup>2</sup> in just one month—more than four times the variability allowed under DRSC's proposal. From the Association's standpoint, in November the bank account was \$55,000.<sup>3</sup> To place that amount in context, that's about one-and-a-half times the <u>annual</u> net

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> At Finding 54, a loan term of <u>25</u> years is stated, but the Ordering Paragraph at p. 18, l. 1 states a term of <u>20</u> years, which we believe to be a typo. The amendment on Exhibit B changes the term to 25 years, which will reduce annual principal payments.

While gas costs remain very high, price increases have not been as large as expected in the last three months. As a result, DRSC expects to discontinue the \$0.45 surcharge in April.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> HR TR, p. 97, 11. 1-5.

margins expected under the rates approved in this case and about 70% of the Association's annual capital expenditure requirements.

Approval of the 10-cents-per-therm monthly system allows DRSC gradually to move the rate charged closer to its actual cost—reducing considerably the cash strain to carry and finance these large undercollected balances. Consumers benefit in two ways. First, they avoid the interest costs necessary to finance the undercollections and, second, they receive constant, gradual rate signals rather than the abrupt, much larger increases the current system delivers.

A two-page schedule attached as Exhibit C illustrates this for 2005. Its first page shows the adjustor, bank and other experience under the current system, while its second page shows what would have happened under DRSC's 10-cents-per-month proposal. Referring to line 9, the adjustor changes would have been much more gradual for the customer and not as high in December. Referring to line 14, both carrying charges and the bank balances would have been greatly reduced. Recommended amendment language is included in Exhibit B.

Finally, DRSC asks that the Commission grant its request for \$600,000 in long-term debt including approximately \$171,000 to cover advances from DVEC that were used for operating costs. At Finding 53, the ROO indicates that the Administrative Law Judge is sympathetic to the request, but ultimately rejects it primarily because (1) DRSC could have sought rate relief sooner and (2) the funds have been expended and are no longer needed to fund DRSC's operations.

On the first issue, either DVEC or DRSC have been processing cases constantly since 1999. The Association has filed two requests for rate relief in the past four years, as well as four surcharge and finance requests. Over roughly the same time, because of the loss of the Phelps Dodge electric supply contract, DVEC has also had to file three rate cases resulting in decisions

in 1999, 2001 and 2004. In fact, processing the 2004 DVEC rate case delayed somewhat the ability to process this gas case for DRSC.

Duncan Valley Electric Cooperative has only 14 employees to run an electric system which serves about 2,500 meters and the DRSC gas system which serves about 750. The preparation of schedules and testimony, research and responses to data requests, meetings with Staff personnel, hearings and the myriad other details associated with processing regulatory filings and rate cases are very time-consuming, expensive and labor intensive. Here, DVEC and DRSC have been involved in five rate cases, two surcharge applications and two debt requests over the past seven years. This record indicates that both DVEC and DRSC and their Boards labored diligently to meet the financial and cash challenges associated with both non-profits within the limits of their capabilities.

On the second issue, it's true that the funds have been expended and are no longer needed to fund the Association's operations. But, they were lent to DRSC by DVEC in good faith to cover operating expense shortfalls which allowed the Association to meet its bills as they came due and to continue to provide safe, reliable and adequate service. There are no stockholders or other sources of funds which could have met these obligations.

The Commission has the ability under A.R.S. § 40-302.A to authorize borrowings for operating expenses. The Association agrees that this power should be exercised rarely, but strongly believes this case presents both the factual and equitable circumstances which justify its exercise. It simply is not fair to shift these expenses to the members of DVEC. As a fiduciary matter to the members of DVEC, if the Commission does not authorize this debt, Duncan Valley's Board will have to seriously consider whether it can continue to make additional advances to DRSC. Recommended amendment language is included in Exhibit B.

#### Conclusion DRSC requests that the Commission adopt the ROO with the amendments set forth in 2 3 Exhibit B. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 8<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2006. 4 GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A. 6 By Wlichael M. Sho Todd C. Wiley 8 2575 East Camelback Road 9 Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225 Attorneys for Duncan Rural Services 10 Corporation Original and fifteen copies filed this 11 8<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2006, with: 12 **Docket Control** 13 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 14 Two copies of the foregoing delivered 15 this 8<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2006, to: 16 Commissioner Jeff Hatch-Miller, Chairman 17 **Arizona Corporation Commission** 1200 West Washington 18 ll Phoenix, Arizona 85007 19 Commissioner William A. Mundell Arizona Corporation Commission 20 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 21

22

23

1	Commissioner Marc Spitzer
[	Arizona Corporation Commission
2	1200 West Washington
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
اد	Commissioner Mike Gleason
4	Arizona Corporation Commission
·	1200 West Washington
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
6	Commissioner Kristin K. Mayes
_	Arizona Corporation Commission
7	1200 West Washington
8	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
٥١	Copy of the foregoing delivered this
9	8 <sup>th</sup> day of March, 2006, to:
	day of March, 2000, to.
10	Jason Gellman, Legal Division
	Arizona Corporation Commission
11	1200 West Washington
	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
12	Comments of the Comments of Second and mailed
13	<b>Copy</b> of the foregoing faxed and mailed this 8 <sup>th</sup> day of March, 2006, to:
13	this 8 day of Waren, 2000, to.
14	Jane L. Rodda
	Administrative Law Judge
15	Hearing Division
	Arizona Corporation Commission
16	400 West Congress
17	Tucson, Arizona 85701-1347
17	Fax: (520) 628-6559
18	
10	Lou Dearsall
19	10426-2/1337392
20	
21	
22	

1	E	EFORE THE ARIZONA CORPOR	ATION COMMISSION
2			
3	DUNCAN RU	TTER OF THE APPLICATION RAL SERVICES CORPORATION	
4	FOR A RAT	E INCREASE.	)
5	IN THE MA	TTER OF THE APPLICATION	) OF ) DOCKET NO.
6	DUNCAN RU	RAL SERVICES CORPORATION VAL OF A LOAN IN THE AMO	)G-02528A-03-0205
7	OF \$400,0		)
8			,
9			
10			
11			
12	7. L	m	
13	At:	Tucson, Arizona	
14	Date:	December 15, 2005	
15	Filed:	,	
16			
17		REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF	PROCEEDINGS
18			
19			
20			TING SERVICE, INC.
2,1		Sui	Reporting te Three
22			th Third Street rizona 85004-1126
23			L. EDWARDS, RPR
24		Certif	ied Court Reporter icate No. 50425
!5	Prepared	for:	
	ACC		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Each one of these recommendations, Ms. Rodda,
13	attempts to bring some financial stability to a system
14	which simply hasn't been able to achieve it despite
15	the best efforts to do so over the past 15 years.
16	This is Duncan Rural's fourth rate case since
17	1993. The three prior decisions, two of which you
18	were the judge on, were 58356 in 1993, 59539 in 1996,
19	and 64869 in 2002.
20	Duncan Rural was formed by Duncan Valley and
21	its members in 1989 to acquire the Greenlee County Gas
22	operations of General Utilities. That gas system had
23	been neglected for years and it was in serious need of
24	upgrades and repairs.
25	Under general ownership the system had been

- cited many times by the Pipeline Safety Section for 1
- violations and was in serious noncompliance. It had 2
- been run by the Frederickson family for about 3
- 60 years, and you may recall from the history that the
- final sister in the family had died. 5
- And actually working with the Commission 6
- Duncan Valley moved in to acquire the Greenlee County 7
- operations, and Graham Electric moved in to acquire 8
- 9 the Graham County operations.
- 10 The Cooperative was aware of some of the
- problems and certainly the Commission was aware of 11
- many of the problems as well but had no idea as to 12
- 13 their magnitude.
- 14 For example, an escrow fund to finance
- 15 repairs out of seller's proceeds was negotiated, but
- 16 the roughly \$180,000 which was reserved for repairs
- 17 and replacements was quickly exhausted.
- Once the Greenlee Gas operations Duncan Rural 18
- acquired were separated from the much larger Graham 19
- 20 County operations, it was learned that line losses
- were not the eight to nine percent that had been 21
- represented at time of sale but instead were 22
- 23 36 percent.
- In a few years Duncan Rural brought the 24
- 25 system into substantial compliance with safety

- 1 standards and reduced those system losses to eight
- 2 percent, but it seemed as if a dark cloud was hanging
- 3 over the Duncan Rural system. It of course started
- 100 percent debt financed.
- 5 Number two, I was reminded in reading your
- 6 1996 order, you note this on page two, that the 1993
- 7 rate case did not really deliver very much money
- 8 ultimately because after the line losses were fixed it
- 9 was learned that General Utilities had been using the
- 10 wrong billing factor for a long time.
- 11 They were billing the gas as if the system
- 12 were at sea level when in fact it was in excess of
- 3,000 feet. And so, when discovered, the billing 13
- 14 factor was adjusted as it should have been but that
- negated much of the rate relief which had been 15
- 16 intended to be associated with the 1993 case.
- 17 And, Ms. Rodda, simply stated, the
- 18 Cooperative has never been able to catch up.
- 19 As Mr. Shilling discusses, from 2001 to 2004,
- 20 continuing plant repairs and replacements have cost
- about \$330,000, and capital additions are going to 21
- average about the same, \$80,000 per year for the next 22
- five years. 23
- 24 The Commission is well aware of what's been
- 25 happening to the cost of natural gas. Carrying that

1	dramatically-increasing cost under the current clause
2	structure together with other cost increases has also
3	strained Duncan Rural's financial resources.
4	Finally, after some modest growth in
5	customers in the 1990s and into the early part of this
6	century, Duncan Rural has been losing customers in
7	recent years. It was serving about 820 customers
8	around the time we last got together in 2002, but as
9	of October of this year that number had dropped to
10	745.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

#### Requested Amendments to the ROO

#### Capital Improvement and Reporting Issue

At page 4, 1. 26, after the word "debt" insert "exclusive of the long-term debt authorized in this Decision".

#### Long-Term Interest Rate Issue

At page 12, 1. 13, delete the final two sentences of Finding 56 and insert "DRSC's proposal that a 5% rate be used to determine its revenue requirements is fair and reasonable and we authorize DRSC to borrow on the same terms we authorized in Decision No. 64869."

At page 18, ll. 1-2, delete "for a term of twenty years, and at an interest rate not to exceed five percent per year" and insert "for a term of twenty-five years, and at a variable interest rate equivalent to AEPCO's deposit rate, but not to exceed eight percent per year".

#### Change in PGA Adjustor Issue

At page 15, ll. 9-13, delete Finding 64 and insert:

"64. Under the unique circumstances of this case given DRSC's non-profit nature, small size, negative equity, cash flow difficulties and limited credit resources, we find that the Company's proposal to manage its PGA bank balance as close to zero as possible with monthly adjustors of no more than 10 cents per therm based on its 12-month rolling average cost of gas should be approved."

At page 17, l. 26, insert new Ordering paragraph as follows:

"IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Duncan Rural Services Corporation is authorized to manage its PGA bank balance as close to zero as possible with monthly adjustors of no more than 10 cents per therm based on its 12-month rolling average cost of gas."

#### Debt Amount Issue

At page 11, l. 15, delete the final three sentences of Finding 53 and insert "This is a situation where one non-profit cooperative aided another non-profit association as both faced unique financial and operational challenges with limited resources. Further, there is no indication that the sufficiency of rate levels has been neglected. Instead, the record demonstrates that DVEC and DRSC have processed a total of five rate cases in seven years. Given this record, it is appropriate to authorize long-term debt to finance these advances from DVEC."

At page 16, l. 6, delete "34,".

At page 18, l. 1, delete the remainder of the sentence and footnote 5 and insert "aggregate of \$600,000 for a term of twenty years, and at a variable interest rate equivalent to AEPCO's deposit rate, but not to exceed eight percent per year."

Date	Jan-05	Feb-05	Mar-05	Apr-05	May-05	Jun-05	Jul-05	Aug-05	Sep-05	Oct-05	Nov-05	Dec-05
1. Beginning Bank Balance	\$22,277.44	\$23,431.78 \$21,371.30	\$21,371.30	\$17,290.27	\$21,354.72	\$20,727.93	\$19,948.92	\$23,481.92	\$22,259.63	\$22,259.63 \$23,902.71	\$35,766.57	\$54,957.63
2. Cost of Purchased Gas	\$39,353.22	\$31,479.08 \$21,190.58	\$21,190.58	\$32,317.64	\$13,079.05	\$17,366.94	\$26,488.46	\$14,500.80	\$14,070.05	\$24,013.76	\$40,441.55	\$56,539.79
3. Transportation Cost	\$3,105.38		\$2,539.92 (\$1,225.34)	\$2,072.06	\$927.06	\$1,415.33	\$1,921.97	\$1,078.02	\$764.74	\$1,109.16	\$1,643.48	\$2,928.32
4. Total Cost to be Recovered	\$64,736.04	\$64,736.04 \$57,450.78 \$41,336.54	\$41,336.54	\$51,679.97	\$35,360.83	\$39,510.20	\$48,359.35	\$39,060.74		\$37,094.42 \$49,025.63	\$77,851.60	\$114,425.74
5. Sales in Therms	73,020	63,273	42,112	51,858	24,840	32,508	42,170	27,746	21,746	21,612	36,785	62,028
6. Base Period Fuel Cost per Therm	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600
7. Amount Recovered by Base Period Gas Cost	\$26,287.20	\$26,287.20 \$22,778.28	\$15,160.32	\$18,668.88	\$8,942.40	\$11,702.88	\$15,181.20	\$9,988.56	\$7,828.56	\$7,780.32	\$13,242.60	\$22,330.08
9. Rolling Average PGA per Therm	\$0.20630	\$0.21100	\$0.21220	\$0.22560	\$0.23130	\$0.23130	\$0.23130	\$0.24810	\$0.24980	\$0.25720	\$0.26580	\$0.72500
10. Amount Recovered from Rolling Average	\$15,064.03	\$15,064.03 \$13,350.60	\$8,936.17	\$11,699.16	\$5,745.49	\$7,519.10	\$9,753.92	\$6,883.78	\$5,432.15	\$5,558.61	\$9,777.45	\$44,970.30
11. Total Amount Recovered	\$41,351.23	\$36,128.88 \$24,096.49	\$24,096.49	\$30,368.04	\$14,687.89	\$19,221.98	\$24,935.12	\$16,872.34 \$13,260.71 \$13,338.93	\$13,260.71	\$13,338.93	\$23,020.05	\$67,300.38
12. Adjustments						(\$395.78)						
13. Monthly Subtotal	\$23,384.81	\$23,384.81 \$21,321.90 \$17,240.05	\$17,240.05	\$21,311.93	\$20,672.94	\$19,892.44	\$23,424.23	\$22,188.40	\$23,833.71 \$35,686.70 \$54,831.55	\$35,686.70	\$54,831.55	\$47,125.36
Monthly Interest Rate	2.53%	2.53%	2.82%	2.97%	3.09%	3.27%	3.47%	3.64%	3.72%	4.01%	4.23%	4.23%
14. Monthly Interest	\$46.97	\$49.40	\$50.22	\$42.79	\$54.99	\$56.48	\$57.69	\$71.23	\$69.00	\$79.87	\$126.08	\$193.73
14. End of Month Bank Balance	\$23,431.78	\$21,371.30 \$17,290.27	\$17,290.27	\$21,354.72	\$20,727.93		\$19,948.92 \$23,481.92 \$22,259.63 \$23,902.71 \$35,766.57	\$22,259.63	\$23,902.71	\$35,766.57	\$54,957.63	\$47,319.09

Date	Jan-05	Feb-05	Mar-05	Apr-05	May-05	Jun-05	Jul-05	Aug-05	Sep-05	Oct-05	Nov-05	Dec-05
1. Beginning Bank Balance	\$22,277.44	\$22,277.44 \$18,415.31 \$12,294.78	\$12,294.78	\$5,337.23	\$6,032.44	\$3,908.08	\$1,175.02	\$2,178.35	(\$271.12)	\$210.47	\$8,908.97	\$19,390.30
2. Cost of Purchased Gas	\$39,353.22		\$31,479.08 \$21,190.58	\$32,317.64	\$13,079.05	\$17,366.94	\$26,488.46	\$14,500.80	\$14,070.05	\$24,013.76	\$40,441.55	\$56,539.79
3. Transportation Cost	\$3,105.38	\$2,539.92	\$2,539.92 (\$1,225.34) \$2,072.06	\$2,072.06	\$927.06	\$1,415.33	\$1,921.97	\$1,078.02	\$764.74	\$1,109.16	\$1,643.48	\$2,928.32
4. Total Cost to be Recovered	\$64,736.04	\$64,736.04 \$52,434.31 \$32,260.02	\$32,260.02	\$39,726.93	\$20,038.55	\$22,690.35	\$29,585.45	\$17,757.17	\$14,563.67	\$25,333.39	\$50,994.00	\$78,858.41
5. Sales in Therms	73,020	63,273	42,112	51,858	24,840	32,508	42,170	27,746	21,746	21,612	36,785	62,028
6. Base Period Fuel Cost per Therm	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600	\$0.3600
7. Amount Recovered by Base Period Gas Cost	\$26,287.20	\$26,287.20 \$22,778.28 \$15,160.32	\$15,160.32	\$18,668.88	\$8,942.40	\$11,702.88	\$15,181.20	\$9,988.56	\$7,828.56	\$7,780.32	\$13,242.60	\$22,330.08
9. Rolling Average PGA per Therm	\$0.27500	\$0.27500	\$0.28000	\$0.29000	\$0.29000	\$0.29000	\$0.29000	\$0.29000	\$0.30000	\$0.40000	\$0.50000	\$0.60000
10. Amount Recovered from Rolling Average	\$20,080.50	\$20,080.50 \$17,400.08 \$11,791.36	\$11,791.36	\$15,038.82	\$7,203.60	\$9,427.32	\$12,229.30	\$8,046.34	\$6,523.80	\$8,644.80	\$18,392.50	\$37,216.80
11. Total Amount Recovered	\$46,367.70	\$46,367.70 \$40,178.36	\$26,951.68	\$33,707.70	\$16,146.00	\$21,130.20	\$27,410.50	\$18,034.90 \$14,352.36		\$16,425.12	\$31,635.10	\$59,546.88
12. Adjustments						(\$395.78)						
13. Monthly Subtotal	\$18,368.34	\$18,368.34 \$12,255.95	\$5,308.34	\$6,019.23	\$3,892.55	\$1,164.37	\$2,174.95	(\$277.73)	\$211.31	\$8,908.27	\$19,358.90	\$19,311.53
Monthly Interest Rate	2.53%	2.53%	2.82%	2.97%	3.09%	3.27%	3.47%	3.64%	3.72%	4.01%	4.23%	4.23%
14. Monthly Interest	\$46.97	\$38.83	\$28.89	\$13.21	\$15.53	\$10.65	\$3.40	\$6.61	(\$0.84)	\$0.70	\$31.40	\$68.35
14. End of Month Bank Balance	\$18,415.31	\$18,415.31 \$12,294.78	\$5,337.23	\$6,032.44	\$3,908.08	\$1,175.02	\$2,178.35	(\$271.12)	\$210.47	\$8,908.97	\$19,390.30	\$19,379.88